$\Box$  (1)

 $\square$  (2)

□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

United States of America	
V.	) 
CHRISTOPHER L. VOLLE,	) Case No. 4:11CR3042
Defendant	)
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL	
After conducting a detention hearing under the require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	e Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
	Findings of Fact
	ribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
	e or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.s for which the prison term is 10 years or	S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) r more.
☐ an offense for which the maximum sen	tence is death or life imprisonment.
X an offense for which a maximum prison	n term of ten years or more is prescribed in
26:5841, 5861(d) and 5871 POSSESSI	ON OF AN UNREGISTERED FIREARM .*
	had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses -(C), or comparable state or local offenses:
$\Box$ any felony that is not a crime of violence	ce but involves:
□ a minor victim	
☐ the possession or use of a firearm of	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	2. § 2250
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was co federal, state release or local offense.	mmitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
☐ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed	since the $\Box$ date of conviction $\Box$ the defendant's release
from prison for the offense described in find	ding (1).
	ebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the . I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption
Altern	ative Findings (A)

There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in

the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure

Page 1 of 2

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

### **Alternative Findings (B)**

- X (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- X (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

#### Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by X clear and convincing evidence  $\Box$  a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant poses a risk of flight and harm to public. Defendant does not request a detention hearing at this time. PTS is ordered to obtain a drug evaluation of the defendant.

### Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: May 6, 2011 s/Cheryl R. Zwart

United States Magistrate Judge